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Operable Unit 1
OU1 starts at the confluence of the Chippewa and Tittabawassee rivers and continues to, and includes, the 6th Street Turning Basin on the Saginaw River.

Operable Unit 2
OU2 includes the Saginaw River downstream of the 6th Street Turning Basin and all of Saginaw Bay.
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Saginaw River

• 22 mile river beginning at confluence of Tittabawassee and Shiawassee Rivers

• Drains large watershed

• River serves industries in Saginaw and Bay City

• U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) conducts maintenance dredging in the lower ~17 miles of the river
  – From 6th Street Turning Basin (SSTB) into Saginaw Bay

• Saginaw River and Bay are a Great Lakes Area of Concern
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Sediment

• Inorganic sediment is weathered rock material
  – Sediment is transported, suspended, and deposited with the flow of water
  – Sediment mixes with organic material during transport

• Sediment particle sizes vary
  – Clay < Silt < Sand < Gravel
  – Tittabawassee River is dominated by sand
  – Saginaw River is dominated by silt and clay
  – Deposited sediment in Saginaw River is mostly sand and silt
Transport Fundamentals

• In rivers, flowing water is the primary driver of sediment transport
  – Flow rates in rivers are variable
  – As the water flow increases and decreases, water velocity increases and decreases
  – As water velocity changes, so does sediment transport
  – A decrease in water velocity results in a decrease in sediment transport, and particles deposit on the sediment bed

• Surface particles in most sediment beds are subject to some degree of movement
Transport Fundamentals (cont.)

• Larger heavier particles
  – Require more sheer stress (energy) to transport
  – Are first to deposit when velocities decrease

• Smaller, lighter particles (clays and fine silts)
  – Can suspend in water for a long time
  – Require more time and slower velocities to settle from the water
Transport Fundamentals – What Makes Sediment Particles Move

• Water flow/velocity results in energy/shear stress that move sediment particles

• Bed shear stress
  – Describes the hydrodynamic force acting on the sediment bed
  – Bed sediments are transported when the bed shear stress is high enough (greater than critical shear stress)

• Changes in velocity and bed shear stress changes the rate of transport
  – As the river widens or becomes deeper the velocity and bed shear stress reduces
SEDIMENT TRANSPORT PROCESSES

FLOW → SEDIMENT BEDLOAD MOVEMENT

SUSPENDED SEDIMENT
Transport Fundamentals – What Makes Sediment Particles Deposit

• The opposite of what makes sediment particles move makes them deposit in the river
• The ability to effectively capture sediment is influenced by
  – Hydrodynamic conditions (flow rates)
  – Type of sediment particles (gravel, sand, silt, clay)
• Sediments deposit when the kinetic energy (sheer stress) of the water column is reduced
• Making the river wider and/or deeper reduces kinetic energy in the water column
  – Creates a net-depositional area
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What is a sediment trap?

• An area in the river designed to catch and hold sediment transported from upstream

• Effective sediment traps
  – Allow for collection of sediment at a single location
  – Can reduce downstream maintenance dredging requirements in navigation channels
How does a sediment trap work?

• Making the river wider or deeper
  – Reduces water velocity, thus reducing energy
    \[
    \text{Velocity} = \frac{\text{Flow}}{\text{Area}}
    \]
    \[
    V \ (\text{m/s}) = \frac{Q \ (\text{m}^3/\text{s})}{A \ (\text{m}^2)}
    \]
    – Allowing particle deposition

• In an effective sediment trap
  – Moving particles can deposit
  – Deposited sediment is difficult to transport
VELOCITY DECREASES AT THE SEDIMENT TRAP
THE SEDIMENT TRAP ACCELERATES BEDLOAD DEPOSITION
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Previous Sediment Trap Studies

- Sediment Trap Assessment, Saginaw River, Michigan, December 2001, USACE/Baird & Associates
- Sediment Trap Pilot Project Feasibility Study for the Saginaw River, Michigan, July 2008, prepared for ADR Technical Workgroup
- Sediment Trap Assessment, Saginaw River, Michigan, February 2012, USACE & Baird/URS
Findings from Sediment Trap Studies

USACE / Baird & Associates (2001)

• Looked at potential traps in the navigation area of the middle and lower Saginaw River

• Depending on the configuration, a Saginaw River sediment trap is expected to collect a large amount of the incoming suspended sand, and some of the incoming suspended silt

• Increasing the trap depth and length will improve the trap efficiency for both sand and silt
Findings from Sediment Trap Studies (cont.)

Sediment Trap Pilot Project Feasibility Study (2008)

• The SSTB effectively traps bedload material that is transported into the basin across a range of flow conditions
  – Due to its larger grain size and its proximity to the sediment bed, a small reduction in velocity accelerates bedload deposition
  – Most of the site-related dioxins are associated with bedload and larger grain size sediment

• The SSTB has some potential to trap suspended sediment (fine silts and clays), but at a lower capture efficiency compared to bedload
Findings from Sediment Trap Studies (cont.)

USACE / Baird/URS (2012)

• Looked at potential traps in the upper Saginaw River to prevent transport of material into the navigation area and to reduce dredging costs

• Sediment traps can efficiently capture sand, but most clay and fine silt will pass into the Bay

• Increased trap depth increases trapping efficiency and lengthens time needed between maintenance
River Bed and Surface Water Elevations

[Graph showing elevation data for Tittabawassee River, Saginaw River, and Saginaw Bay.]
SSTB Bathymetric Surveys

- Multiple bathymetric surveys have been conducted at the SSTB to characterize sediment deposition patterns
  - Fall 2006 Dredging
    - Nov 2006 (post-dredging)
    - Sep 2007
    - Aug 2008
    - April 2009 (pre-dredging)
  - Summer 2009 Dredging
    - Aug 2009 (post-dredging)
    - May 2010
    - Jun 2011 (pre-dredging)
  - Fall 2011 Dredging
    - Nov 2011 (post-dredging)
    - March 2012
Bathymetric Monitoring 2006 to 2009
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Dredging occurred Fall 2006
Bathymetric Monitoring 2009 to 2011

Dredging occurred Summer 2009

Dredging occurred Fall 2011
SSTB Annual Deposition Rates

- Net deposition estimated from multibeam bathymetry surveys is variable and influenced by flow conditions.
- Average long-term accumulation rate of ~20,000 CY/yr.
- Findings demonstrate SSTB currently provides an effective trap for incoming sediment when routinely maintained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Estimated SSTB Volume Dredged by USACE (CY)</th>
<th>Estimated Volume Deposited Within or Upstream of SSTB (CY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2006 Dredge Event</td>
<td>~90,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 2006 – Apr. 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>77,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2009 Dredge Event</td>
<td>~60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 2009– Jun. 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2011 Dredge Event</td>
<td>~40,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Next Steps

• EPA committed in a letter to the Lone Tree Council to complete an assessment of sediment traps by late 2012

• Any actions would require USACE agreement
  → we are engaging in discussions with them
QUESTIONS?